Point – Counterpoint: Constitutional Amendments Reinterpreted & Purpose Statements of the U.S. Constitutional Amendments

A Conservative and Constitutional Critique of Progressive
Prepared by Jeff A. Pierson

Purpose Statements of the U.S. Constitutional Amendments

---

1. First Amendment

Purpose: To prevent the establishment of a national religion, ensure free expression, and safeguard the press and public assembly.
James Madison: "The people shall not be deprived or abridged of their right to speak, to write, or to publish their sentiments."
Earliest Distortion: During Woodrow Wilson's presidency, the *Espionage Act of 1917* and *Sedition Act of 1918* were used to silence war dissenters, curtailing free speech under a progressive administration. Progressives later introduced the idea that speech could be criminalized if it offended certain identity groups, laying groundwork for campus speech codes and hate speech legislation.

2. Second Amendment

Purpose: To guarantee citizens the right to defend themselves and resist tyranny through an armed populace.
George Mason: "To disarm the people is the best and most effectual way to enslave them."
Earliest Distortion: The 1934 National Firearms Act introduced under FDR significantly restricted firearm types, marking the beginning of progressive reinterpretation. Later, courts and politicians claimed the right only applied to state-run militias, a position rejected in *District of Columbia v. Heller* (2008).

Jeff Pierson

3. Third Amendment

Purpose: To protect individuals from military intrusion in their homes during peacetime, rooted in grievances under British rule.
Context: Response to the Quartering Acts and British occupation before the Revolution.
Earliest Distortion: Rarely litigated, but some progressive scholars have invoked the Third Amendment in abstract arguments against immigration detention or border enforcement, even where no military action is present, misapplying its intent.

4. Fourth Amendment

Purpose: To secure citizens against unwarranted government intrusion and searches.
James Otis: Called general warrants "the worst instrument of arbitrary power."
Earliest Distortion: Under the Obama administration, the NSA's PRISM program collected phone metadata and digital communications without individualized warrants. Progressive defenders justified this mass surveillance as necessary for safety, in direct contradiction to Fourth Amendment standards.

5. Fifth Amendment

Purpose: To ensure fairness in legal proceedings, protection from self-incrimination, and safeguard property rights.
James Madison: Intended it as a bulwark against overreaching federal prosecutions.
Earliest Distortion: Progressive legal theorists in the 20th century began subordinating individual protections to the public good e.g., eminent domain expanded to allow taking private property for commercial redevelopment (*Kelo v. City of New London*, 2005).

6. Sixth Amendment

Purpose: To provide speedy, public trials and protect the accused from secret prosecutions.
Historical Note: Inspired by abuses in British and colonial courts.
Earliest Distortion: Progressive-led jurisdictions have experimented with secret grand juries, indefinite pretrial detention, and politically motivated prosecutions all contrary to the amendment's call for public accountability and impartial justice.

Jeff Pierson

7. Seventh Amendment

Purpose: To preserve the right to trial by jury in civil disputes.
Context: Reflects English common law and distrust of centralized judicial power.
Earliest Distortion: Under New Deal-era administrative expansion, agencies began adjudicating civil penalties outside the jury system, bypassing the Seventh Amendment entirely.

8. Eighth Amendment

Purpose: To prevent the government from imposing cruel punishments or excessive fines.
English Bill of Rights (1689): Source for language and principle.
Earliest Distortion: Progressive judges redefined "cruel and unusual" to strike down democratically enacted punishments such as the death penalty or life imprisonment, while ignoring excessive civil fines imposed by regulatory agencies.

9. Ninth Amendment

Purpose: To clarify that listing certain rights does not mean others are denied to the people.
Alexander Hamilton: Feared that enumerating rights could imply government power over unlisted ones.
Earliest Distortion: Progressive justices in *Griswold v. Connecticut* (1965) and *Roe v. Wade* (1973) used the Ninth to invent a broad, undefined right to privacy, shifting constitutional meaning from individual liberty to social license.

10. Tenth Amendment

Purpose: To affirm that powers not delegated to the federal government remain with the states or people.
Thomas Jefferson: "Let the general government be reduced to the defense of our shores… and let the states be responsible for the rest."
Earliest Distortion: New Deal and Great Society programs federalized education, healthcare, and commerce, systematically overriding state prerogatives often upheld by progressive courts despite direct conflict with Tenth Amendment intent.

Jeff Pierson

11. Eleventh Amendment

Purpose: To protect state sovereignty by limiting federal jurisdiction in suits against states.
Context: Prompted by *Chisholm v. Georgia* (1793), seen as federal overreach.
Earliest Distortion: Civil rights-era lawsuits used broad federal interpretations to override state immunity even in cases lacking constitutional necessity converting the federal judiciary into an instrument of policy enforcement.

12. Twelfth Amendment

Purpose: To refine the presidential election process to avoid deadlock and ensure separation of presidential and vice-presidential votes.
Historical Trigger: The electoral tie in 1800 between Jefferson and Burr.
Earliest Distortion: Progressive activists frequently call for abolishing the Electoral College, ignoring that the Twelfth Amendment was meant to preserve federalism and state influence in presidential selection.

13. Thirteenth Amendment

Purpose: To abolish slavery and involuntary servitude.
Abraham Lincoln: Called it "a king's cure for all the evils."
Earliest Distortion: Far-left activists and academics in the 1970s and 80s began equating wage labor and incarceration with slavery, broadening the amendment's scope to critique capitalism rather than protect liberty.

14. Fourteenth Amendment

Purpose: To grant citizenship and equal legal protection to freed slaves.
Senator Jacob Howard (sponsor): Intended to enforce civil rights, not create new ones.
Earliest Distortion: In *Roe v. Wade* (1973), the Supreme Court interpreted the Due Process Clause to include a woman's right to terminate a pregnancy. This excluded unborn children from equal protection, contradicting the amendment's goal of universal personhood. Later, it was used to redefine marriage and gender identity, imposing contested social changes without democratic consensus.

Jeff Pierson

15. Fifteenth Amendment

Purpose: To prohibit race-based voting discrimination.
Context: Aimed at securing Black suffrage after Reconstruction.
Earliest Distortion: Race-conscious redistricting and voting rules originally temporary measures became permanent tools for racial engineering, undermining the amendment's intent of equal ballot access regardless of race.

16. Sixteenth Amendment

Purpose: To permit a federal income tax without apportioning it among the states.
Supporters: Argued it was necessary to modernize government revenue.
Earliest Distortion: Tax policy became a means for wealth redistribution and social manipulation rather than simple revenue collection, violating original principles of limited government.

17. Seventeenth Amendment

Purpose: To allow direct election of Senators to reduce corruption.
Opposition: Feared it would weaken state power in federal government.
Earliest Distortion: The shift to popular election severed Senate accountability to state legislatures, transforming it into a populist chamber and weakening federalism.

18. Eighteenth Amendment

Purpose: To prohibit alcohol in hopes of reducing crime and improving morality.
Temperance Movement: Framed as a social reform necessity.
Earliest Distortion: The amendment itself represented a progressive effort to legislate morality at the federal level its repeal illustrated the dangers of idealistic overreach.

19. Nineteenth Amendment

Purpose: To grant women the right to vote.
Susan B. Anthony: "There never will be complete equality until women themselves help to make laws."
Earliest Distortion: Used by feminist movements to advocate for gender quotas and sex-

Jeff Pierson

based preferences in hiring and governance, departing from its original intent of equal access to voting.

20. Twentieth Amendment

Purpose: To shorten the lame duck period between election and inauguration.
Context: Increased efficiency and legitimacy of government transitions.
Earliest Distortion: Occasionally cited in partisan rhetoric to demand rushed certification or expedited removal, misusing its procedural intent for political leverage.

21. Twenty-First Amendment

Purpose: To repeal Prohibition and restore state control over alcohol.
Public Sentiment: Seen as correcting federal overreach.
Earliest Distortion: Cited to promote national marijuana legalization by ignoring its state-control foundation and the importance of federalism.

22. Twenty-Second Amendment

Purpose: To limit presidents to two terms and prevent executive entrenchment.
George Washington's Precedent: Voluntarily stepped down after two terms.
Earliest Distortion: Progressive writers have occasionally advocated repealing term limits, citing charismatic leadership as justification for indefinite incumbency.

23. Twenty-Third Amendment

Purpose: To grant D.C. residents the right to vote in presidential elections.
Context: Ensured democratic representation for citizens of the capital.
Earliest Distortion: Used as a wedge for advocating D.C. statehood, disregarding its intended role as a neutral federal district not subject to partisan alignment.

24. Twenty-Fourth Amendment

Purpose: To ban poll taxes as a barrier to voting.
Civil Rights Movement: Targeted Southern voter suppression.
Earliest Distortion: Used to oppose all forms of voter verification, including photo ID laws

Jeff Pierson

and citizenship checks, conflating administrative safeguards with economic discrimination.

25. Twenty-Fifth Amendment

Purpose: To clarify succession and handling of presidential disability.
Context: Triggered by Kennedy's assassination and Cold War concerns.
Earliest Distortion: Regularly invoked by partisan commentators to suggest removal of unpopular presidents, diluting its emergency-only intent.

26. Twenty-Sixth Amendment

Purpose: To lower the voting age to 18.
Slogan: "Old enough to fight, old enough to vote."
Earliest Distortion: Progressive activists now cite it to advocate for voting at 16, bypassing the amendment's logical and military-age justification.

27. Twenty-Seventh Amendment

Purpose: To prevent Congress from granting immediate pay raises.
James Madison (1789): Introduced it to uphold legislative accountability.
Earliest Distortion: Congress continues to award itself deferred or backdoor compensation increases (e.g., per diem, office allowances), undermining the spirit of the amendment.

Jeff Pierson

Constitutional Amendments Reinterpreted by Progressives

---

1. First Amendment

Title: Freedom of Speech, Religion, Press, Assembly, and Petition
Progressive View: Interpreted to protect preferred speech (e.g., protest, art, identity politics) while labeling religious or conservative speech as "hate speech" or "violence."
Constitutional Counterpoint: The First Amendment guarantees freedom *of* religion, *not from* religion, and protects all speech equally even if offensive.
Fact: Courts have repeatedly upheld that no category of protected speech can be suppressed based on content or viewpoint (e.g., *Snyder v. Phelps*, 2011).
Historical Note: Originally proposed in 1789 as part of the Bill of Rights and ratified in 1791, the First Amendment aimed to restrain Congress from establishing a national religion or censoring the press or dissent.
Conclusion: *When progressives gatekeep speech, liberty shrinks. Free speech for some is free speech for none.*

2. Second Amendment

Title: The Right to Keep and Bear Arms
Progressive View: Viewed as obsolete or dangerous; often reframed as applying only to militias or hunting.
Constitutional Counterpoint: The Founders saw private gun ownership as a safeguard against tyranny and a necessary right for individual self-defense.
Fact: In *District of Columbia v. Heller* (2008), the Supreme Court affirmed the individual's right to own firearms unconnected with militia service.
Historical Note: Ratified in 1791 to prevent federal tyranny and ensure citizens could resist oppression a reaction to British disarmament efforts before the Revolutionary War.
Conclusion: *Disarming citizens is the prelude to controlling them a fact the Founders knew firsthand.*

3. Third Amendment

Jeff Pierson

Title: Protection from Quartering of Troops
Progressive View: Largely ignored due to perceived irrelevance, except when conveniently repurposed to oppose domestic military deployments.
Constitutional Counterpoint: Represents the broader principle of individual sovereignty and limits on federal intrusion in private life.
Fact: Though rarely litigated, it reflects the Founders' deep fear of standing armies and home invasion by government authority.
Historical Note: Inspired by colonial grievances over the British Quartering Acts, the amendment was ratified in 1791 as part of the Bill of Rights.
Conclusion: *Even unused, the Third Amendment warns against government encroachment disguised as security.*

4. Fourth Amendment

Title: Protection from Unreasonable Searches and Seizures
Progressive View: Defended selectively; often overlooked in cases of surveillance, censorship, or political targeting if the target is ideologically opposed.
Constitutional Counterpoint: Privacy and protection from unreasonable search and seizure apply universally, regardless of ideology.
Fact: Judicial precedents like *Carpenter v. United States* (2018) reaffirm protections against warrantless data collection.
Historical Note: Ratified in 1791 in response to colonial-era general warrants and writs of assistance used by British authorities.
Conclusion: *When privacy depends on political loyalty, no one is truly secure.*

5. Fifth Amendment

Title: Rights in Criminal Cases and Protection of Property
Progressive View: Emphasized when it protects activist causes, downplayed when due process benefits the accused in culturally unpopular cases.
Constitutional Counterpoint: Protects against self-incrimination and guarantees fair legal procedure for *all* citizens.
Fact: The clause on due process applies equally to criminal defendants, property owners, and citizens accused in the court of public opinion.
Historical Note: Ratified in 1791 to ensure justice was not arbitrary, particularly for political and religious minorities under federal authority. First applied broadly in *Miranda v. Arizona* (1966).

Jeff Pierson

Conclusion: *Due process isn't progressive or conservative it's American. Undermining it threatens everyone.*

6. Sixth Amendment

Title: Right to a Fair Trial
Progressive View: Praised when defending protestors, ignored when opposing lawfare or political prosecution against ideological opponents.
Constitutional Counterpoint: Guarantees a speedy, public trial with an impartial jury justice must be transparent and timely.
Fact: Delays, gag orders, and biased courts violate both the letter and spirit of this amendment.
Historical Note: Ratified in 1791 to prevent secret trials and indefinite detention, with foundational application in *Gideon v. Wainwright* (1963) for right to counsel.
Conclusion: *Justice delayed for political reasons is not justice at all.*

7. Seventh Amendment

Title: Rights in Civil Cases
Progressive View: Often dismissed as outdated, particularly in regulatory or civil rights contexts where agencies bypass civil trials.
Constitutional Counterpoint: Preserves the right to trial by jury in civil cases a protection against bureaucratic overreach.
Fact: Administrative courts and regulatory actions increasingly replace citizen juries with unelected panels.
Historical Note: Ratified in 1791, it reflected English common law and distrust of judges loyal to the Crown. Affirmed in *Beacon Theatres, Inc. v. Westover* (1959).
Conclusion: *When experts replace jurors, freedom bends to ideology, not justice.*

8. Eighth Amendment

Title: Protection from Cruel and Unusual Punishment
Progressive View: Cited to oppose the death penalty or strict sentencing but ignored regarding coercive fines, lockdowns, or selective enforcement.
Constitutional Counterpoint: Prohibits cruel punishment and excessive fines across the board, not only when convenient.
Fact: Fines and penalties from federal agencies can bankrupt citizens without criminal

Jeff Pierson

conviction.
Historical Note: Ratified in 1791 and rooted in the English Bill of Rights (1689), it was first applied federally in *Furman v. Georgia* (1972).
Conclusion: *Eighth Amendment protections must restrain all abuses not just those disfavored by the left.*

9. Ninth Amendment

Title: Rights Retained by the People
Progressive View: Used to justify broad claims to privacy and new "rights" not enumerated (e.g., abortion, gender identity, housing).
Constitutional Counterpoint: A caution that rights not listed are still protected but not an open door to redefine human nature or law by fiat.
Fact: The Framers intended this as a restraint on federal overreach, not a blank check for judicial activism.
Historical Note: Ratified in 1791, it warns against assuming the Constitution lists all rights. Cited in *Griswold v. Connecticut* (1965) for privacy rights.
Conclusion: *The Ninth protects liberty not ideology disguised as rights.*

10. Tenth Amendment

Title: Powers Reserved to the States and the People
Progressive View: Treated as a relic; federal power is often asserted in education, healthcare, and elections despite clear state jurisdiction.
Constitutional Counterpoint: Reserves powers not granted to the federal government to the states and the people.
Fact: Foundational to federalism, the Tenth is a firewall against central planning.
Historical Note: Ratified in 1791 to limit federal overreach and guarantee state sovereignty. Affirmed in *United States v. Lopez* (1995).
Conclusion: *Ignore the Tenth, and you invite tyranny from above.*

11. Eleventh Amendment

Title: Limits on Suing States
Progressive View: Often overlooked unless useful in blocking federal action in favor of states advancing progressive goals.
Constitutional Counterpoint: Limits federal judicial power to preserve state sovereignty.

Jeff Pierson

Fact: Adopted in 1795 in response to *Chisholm v. Georgia* (1793), which allowed a citizen of one state to sue another state.
Historical Note: Emphasized dual sovereignty, ensuring that states could not be dragged into federal court by individuals.
Conclusion: *Used inconsistently by progressives, this amendment guards state dignity and our federal system*.

12. Twelfth Amendment

Title: Revising Presidential Elections
Progressive View: Criticized as outdated due to Electoral College mechanics; called undemocratic.
Constitutional Counterpoint: Prevents electoral chaos and preserves stability by requiring separate votes for President and Vice President.
Fact: Ratified in 1804 after the electoral tie in the election of 1800 between Jefferson and Burr.
Historical Note: Reinforces the federal nature of presidential elections by balancing state and popular influence.
Conclusion: *Protecting deliberate, ordered elections is not undemocratic it's the backbone of our Republic*.

13. Thirteenth Amendment

Title: Abolition of Slavery
Progressive View: Honored symbolically but expanded rhetorically to include wage labor, incarceration, and immigration issues.
Constitutional Counterpoint: Clearly abolished *chattel slavery* and involuntary servitude, not capitalism or law enforcement.
Fact: Ratified in 1865 following the Civil War; formally ended legal slavery in the U.S.
Historical Note: Marked the first of the Reconstruction Amendments, with deep legal and moral authority.
Conclusion: *Diluting slavery's true horror by analogy weakens its historical and moral weight*.

14. Fourteenth Amendment

Jeff Pierson

Title: Citizenship, Equal Protection, and Due Process
Progressive View: Used to justify judicial activism on abortion, marriage, and gender identity.
Constitutional Counterpoint: Designed to ensure civil rights for freed slaves, not invent new rights via judicial decree.
Fact: Ratified in 1868 and central to *Brown v. Board of Education* (1954) and *Roe v. Wade* (1973).
Historical Note: Sought to unify the nation after the Civil War by ensuring equal treatment under law.
Conclusion: *Equal protection should not mean equal outcomes or fluid definitions of rights*.

15. Fifteenth Amendment

Title: Voting Rights for All Races
Progressive View: Reframed as a tool to justify racial quotas, redistricting by race, or elimination of voter ID laws.
Constitutional Counterpoint: Intended to secure voting rights *regardless of race,* not elevate race as the defining qualification.
Fact: Ratified in 1870, it prohibited racial discrimination in voting.
Historical Note: Aimed at protecting Black Americans during Reconstruction from state disenfranchisement.
Conclusion: *Race-neutral access to the ballot is a civil right race-based manipulation is not*.

16. Sixteenth Amendment

Title: Federal Income Tax
Progressive View: Justifies expansive taxation and wealth redistribution.
Constitutional Counterpoint: Intended to fund basic government operations, not engineer economic equality.
Fact: Ratified in 1913 to resolve legal issues after *Pollock v. Farmers' Loan & Trust Co.* (1895).
Historical Note: Opened the door to the modern federal bureaucracy and taxation system.
Conclusion: *Confiscatory taxes destroy liberty not just wealth*.

Jeff Pierson

17. Seventeenth Amendment

Title: Direct Election of Senators
Progressive View: Celebrated for promoting democracy but undermines state representation in Congress.
Constitutional Counterpoint: Original system empowered state legislatures to check federal overreach.
Fact: Ratified in 1913 as a populist reform to curb corruption.
Historical Note: Shifted balance from state sovereignty to national populism.
Conclusion: *Popular doesn't always mean principled the Founders trusted process more than impulse*.

18. Eighteenth Amendment

Title: Prohibition of Alcohol
Progressive View: Now discredited, but reflected the overreach of moralistic government reform.
Constitutional Counterpoint: Demonstrated the dangers of legislating personal behavior and the power of reversal.
Fact: Ratified in 1919 and repealed by the 21st Amendment in 1933.
Historical Note: First major restriction of personal liberty later undone by the people.
Conclusion: *Moral zeal without consent produces black markets, not virtue*.

19. Nineteenth Amendment

Title: Women's Suffrage
Progressive View: Appropriately celebrated, though modern movements sometimes distort its individualist roots.
Constitutional Counterpoint: Affirmed that civic participation is a right based on personhood, not paternalism.
Fact: Ratified in 1920 after decades of activism.
Historical Note: Culmination of a broad-based movement emphasizing equal responsibility in democracy.
Conclusion: *True equality uplifts all not by group privilege, but by shared duty*.

20. Twentieth Amendment

Jeff Pierson

Title: Lame Duck Sessions and Inauguration Changes
Progressive View: Rarely discussed; procedural.
Constitutional Counterpoint: Enhanced democratic responsiveness by shortening terms and reducing lame duck abuses.
Fact: Ratified in 1933 to adapt to modern transportation and political cycles.
Historical Note: Prevented unelected officials from clinging to power post-election.
Conclusion: *Accountability improves when delay shortens*.

21. Twenty-First Amendment

Title: Repeal of Prohibition
Progressive View: Seen as correction of past overreach; used to argue against current restrictions on other substances.
Constitutional Counterpoint: Demonstrated federalism in action by returning power to states.
Fact: Ratified in 1933; only amendment passed by state conventions rather than legislatures.
Historical Note: Reasserted state control over moral policy.
Conclusion: *When freedom is restored, local wisdom leads*.

22. Twenty-Second Amendment

Title: Presidential Term Limits
Progressive View: Occasionally opposed as limiting dynamic leadership.
Constitutional Counterpoint: Protects the republic from executive tyranny and political monarchy.
Fact: Ratified in 1951 after Franklin D. Roosevelt's four terms.
Historical Note: Reinforced Washington's precedent of voluntary restraint.
Conclusion: *Power without limit becomes its own justification*.

23. Twenty-Third Amendment

Title: D.C. Electoral Votes
Progressive View: Viewed as inadequate; demands for full congressional representation persist.
Constitutional Counterpoint: Provided presidential voice without creating a full-fledged federal-state hybrid.

Jeff Pierson

Fact: Ratified in 1961, granted 3 electoral votes to the District of Columbia.
Historical Note: Balanced fairness with structural constraints.
Conclusion: *Not all districts are states, and not all claims demand symmetry.*

24. Twenty-Fourth Amendment

Title: Abolition of Poll Taxes
Progressive View: Used to oppose all voter integrity measures as modern-day disenfranchisement.
Constitutional Counterpoint: Targeted economic barriers, not verification of identity or citizenship.
Fact: Ratified in 1964 to prevent financial barriers to voting.
Historical Note: Crucial part of civil rights-era voting reform.
Conclusion: *Barriers to voting should be moral, not monetary and never ideological.*

25. Twenty-Fifth Amendment

Title: Presidential Succession and Disability Procedures
Progressive View: Sometimes exploited as a political tool to challenge sitting presidents.
Constitutional Counterpoint: Meant for orderly transition, not partisan opportunism.
Fact: Ratified in 1967 following the JFK assassination.
Historical Note: Clarified presidential succession in moments of crisis.
Conclusion: *Orderly transfer protects the republic; exploitation endangers it.*

26. Twenty-Sixth Amendment

Title: Voting Age Set to 18
Progressive View: Used to push for voting at 16 or lower, claiming expanded civic awareness.
Constitutional Counterpoint: 18 balanced maturity with legal responsibility.
Fact: Ratified in 1971 during the Vietnam War, reinforcing the principle "old enough to fight, old enough to vote."
Historical Note: Quickest ratified amendment in history.
Conclusion: *Rights come with duties premature rights erode both.*

27. Twenty-Seventh Amendment

Jeff Pierson

Title: Congressional Pay Limitations
Progressive View: Little discussed, though aligns with anti-elitist rhetoric.
Constitutional Counterpoint: Ensures that legislators cannot immediately benefit from pay raises they enact.
Fact: Proposed in 1789 but ratified in 1992, the longest ratification in U.S. history.
Historical Note: Reinforces accountability between elections.
Conclusion: *Self-restraint is the first test of public service*.

Jeff Pierson

References

Books & Scholarly Works

Amar, A. R. (2005). *America's constitution: A biography*. Random House.

Ackerman, B. (1991). *We the people: Foundations*. Harvard University Press.

Balkin, J. M. (2001). *Living originalism*. Harvard University Press.

Chemerinsky, E. (2011). *The conservative assault on the Constitution*. Simon & Schuster.

Dorf, M. C. (1997). Integrating normative and descriptive constitutional theory: The case of original meaning. *Georgetown Law Journal, 85*(6), 1765–1832.

Gillman, H., Graber, M. A., & Whittington, K. E. (2019). *American constitutionalism*. Oxford University Press.

Ginsburg, R. B. (1993). Speaking in a judicial voice. *New York University Law Review, 67*(6), 1185–1209.

Post, R. (2011). *Democracy, expertise, and academic freedom: A First Amendment jurisprudence for the modern state*. Yale University Press.

Roosevelt, K. (2006). *The myth of judicial activism: Making sense of Supreme Court decisions*. Yale University Press.

Skocpol, T., & Williamson, V. (2011). *The Tea Party and the remaking of Republican conservatism*. Oxford University Press.

Strauss, D. A. (2010). *The living Constitution*. Oxford University Press.

Sunstein, C. R. (2001). *Designing democracy: What constitutions do*. Oxford University Press.

Tribe, L. H. (1978). *American constitutional law*. Foundation Press.

West, R. (1990). Progressive constitutionalism. *Harvard Civil Rights-Civil Liberties Law Review, 26*, 741–784.

Reports & Organizations

American Constitution Society. (2020). *A progressive vision of the Constitution*. https://www.acslaw.org

Jeff Pierson

ACLU. (2021). *Progressive interpretation of civil liberties*. https://www.aclu.org

Brennan Center for Justice. (2020). *The right to vote under attack*. https://www.brennancenter.org

Columbia Law Review. (2018). A progressive labor vision of the First Amendment: Past as prologue. *Columbia Law Review*. https://columbialawreview.org

Pew Research Center. (2021, November 9). *Beyond red vs. blue: The political typology*. https://www.pewresearch.org

National Constitution Center. (n.d.). *Interactive Constitution: Interpretations by ACS and Federalist Society scholars*. https://constitutioncenter.org

Foundational Documents & Writings

1. The Federalist Papers, Nos. 10, 45, 84 – Madison, Hamilton, Jay
2. Anti-Federalist Papers, Brutus Essays
3. The Constitution of the United States (1787)
4. The Bill of Rights (1791)
5. Letters and Writings of Thomas Jefferson, George Mason, James Madison
6. English Bill of Rights (1689)

Amendment-by-Amendment Case Law and Historical Sources

1st Amendment

- *Madison's Proposals for the Bill of Rights*, Annals of Congress (1789)
- *Schenck v. United States*, 249 U.S. 47 (1919)
- *Brandenburg v. Ohio*, 395 U.S. 444 (1969)
- *Snyder v. Phelps*, 562 U.S. 443 (2011)
- *Woodrow Wilson's Espionage and Sedition Acts* (1917–1918)
- FIRE (Foundation for Individual Rights in Education) reports on speech codes

2nd Amendment

Jeff Pierson

- *District of Columbia v. Heller*, 554 U.S. 570 (2008)
- George Mason, *Virginia Ratifying Convention* (1788)
- *United States v. Miller*, 307 U.S. 174 (1939)
- *The National Firearms Act* (1934)

3rd Amendment

- *Quartering Acts* (1765 & 1774)
- Griswold, Erwin. "The Third Amendment as the Forgotten Guarantee." *American Bar Association Journal* (1962)
- Rare legal application: *Engblom v. Carey*, 677 F.2d 957 (2d Cir. 1982)

4th Amendment

- *James Otis' Speech Against Writs of Assistance* (1761)
- *Carpenter v. United States*, 585 U.S. ___ (2018)
- *Katz v. United States*, 389 U.S. 347 (1967)
- *NSA PRISM Program*, declassified reports (2013), under Obama administration

5th Amendment

- *Kelo v. City of New London*, 545 U.S. 469 (2005)
- *Miranda v. Arizona*, 384 U.S. 436 (1966)
- James Madison's writings in the Constitutional Convention, 1787

6th Amendment

- *Gideon v. Wainwright*, 372 U.S. 335 (1963)
- *Crawford v. Washington*, 541 U.S. 36 (2004)

7th Amendment

- *Beacon Theatres, Inc. v. Westover*, 359 U.S. 500 (1959)
- Administrative Procedures Act (1946) and evolution of federal agencies

8th Amendment

- *Furman v. Georgia*, 408 U.S. 238 (1972)

Jeff Pierson

- *Excessive Fines Clause*: *Timbs v. Indiana*, 586 U.S. ___ (2019)

9th Amendment

- *Griswold v. Connecticut*, 381 U.S. 479 (1965)
- *Roe v. Wade*, 410 U.S. 113 (1973)

10th Amendment

- *United States v. Lopez*, 514 U.S. 549 (1995)
- Thomas Jefferson, *Kentucky Resolutions* (1798)
- Supreme Court interpretations post-New Deal

11th Amendment

- *Chisholm v. Georgia*, 2 U.S. 419 (1793)
- *Alden v. Maine*, 527 U.S. 706 (1999)

12th Amendment

- *Historical background: Election of 1800* (Jefferson v. Burr)
- *Federalist No. 68* – Electoral College reasoning

13th Amendment

- *Civil War Amendments* (1865–1870)
- *United States v. Kozminski*, 487 U.S. 931 (1988)

14th Amendment

- *Senator Jacob Howard's Speech*, Congressional Globe, 1866
- *Plessy v. Ferguson*, 163 U.S. 537 (1896)
- *Brown v. Board of Education*, 347 U.S. 483 (1954)
- *Roe v. Wade* (1973)
- *Obergefell v. Hodges*, 576 U.S. 644 (2015)

15th Amendment

- *South Carolina v. Katzenbach*, 383 U.S. 301 (1966)
- *Shelby County v. Holder*, 570 U.S. 529 (2013)

Jeff Pierson

16th Amendment

- *Pollock v. Farmers' Loan & Trust Co.*, 157 U.S. 429 (1895)
- Federal Reserve Act (1913)

17th Amendment

- *Debates over ratification*, Congressional Record (1911–1913)

18th & 21st Amendments

- *Volstead Act* (1919)
- *Repeal debates*, 1933 state conventions
- *United States v. Sprague*, 282 U.S. 716 (1931)

19th Amendment

- Susan B. Anthony's speeches (1872–1900)
- *Minor v. Happersett*, 88 U.S. 162 (1875)

20th Amendment

- Congressional Research Service (CRS) Report: *Lame Duck Sessions*
- *U.S. v. Lovett*, 328 U.S. 303 (1946)

22nd Amendment

- *Roosevelt's Four Terms* and Congressional records (1944–1951)
- *Congressional debate on term limits*, 80th Congress

23rd Amendment

- *National Archives: 23rd Amendment Documents*
- DC Home Rule Act (1973)

24th Amendment

- *Harper v. Virginia Board of Elections*, 383 U.S. 663 (1966)

25th Amendment

- *Application following Reagan assassination attempt (1981)*
- *25th invoked for George W. Bush surgery* (2002)

Jeff Pierson

26th Amendment

- *Oregon v. Mitchell*, 400 U.S. 112 (1970)

27th Amendment

- *Proposed by James Madison in 1789*
- *Ratified in 1992*, driven by college student Gregory Watson's campaign

Founding Figures Quoted or Referenced

Note: These were inferred through context and may not be verbatim unless citations were manually included.

1. James Madison
2. George Mason
3. Thomas Jefferson
4. Alexander Hamilton
5. Abraham Lincoln
6. Susan B. Anthony
7. Senator Jacob Howard
8. George Washington

Academic and Scholarly Sources

1. Amar, A. R. (2005). *America's Constitution: A Biography*. Random House.
2. Barnett, R. (2004). *Restoring the Lost Constitution: The Presumption of Liberty*. Princeton University Press.
3. Graglia, L. A. (1992). *Constitutional Law Without the Constitution*. The Cato Institute.
4. Bork, R. H. (1990). *The Tempting of America: The Political Seduction of the Law*. Free Press.

Jeff Pierson

Related Publications

1. "Progressive and Conservative Constitutionalism" – *Georgetown/Duke Law Review*

Summary:
This comparative study examines two divergent constitutional paradigms:

- Progressive: Constitution as a living document responsive to evolving democratic norms.
- Conservative: Constitution as a fixed framework to constrain government and preserve liberty.

Key Themes:

- Conservatives prioritize federalism, textualism, and original intent.
- Progressives focus on dynamic interpretation, social justice, and equal outcomes.
- Highlights a growing rift over the role of the judiciary referee vs. engine of reform.

Core Argument:
There's a fundamental difference in how the Constitution is used: conservatives treat it as limiting power, progressives as enabling transformation. The authors caution that both views risk undermining constitutional legitimacy if untethered from democratic processes.

2. Richard A. Epstein – *How Progressives Rewrote the Constitution*

Summary:
Epstein offers a conservative-libertarian critique of the Progressive Era's legal legacy, arguing that progressive reforms particularly from 1900 to 1940 undermined the Founders' vision of limited government, federalism, and natural rights.

Key Themes:

- The New Deal and modern administrative state violated the Constitution's structural safeguards.
- Courts abandoned property rights, limited government, and free markets under progressive pressure.
- Progressive doctrines introduced vague "rights" (e.g., privacy, equality) that are not textually grounded.

Strengths:

- Detailed historical and doctrinal analysis.
- Articulates how progressive judges and academics redefined liberty and due process.

Jeff Pierson

Criticism:

- Dense and highly legalistic may not resonate with readers unfamiliar with constitutional jurisprudence.

3. Puzzles of Progressive Constitutionalism – *Harvard Law Review*

Summary:
This essay (authored by Frank I. Michelman) investigates the internal tensions and philosophical ambiguities within progressive constitutional theory. It asks whether progressives can maintain coherent constitutional commitments while advocating evolving moral and political goals.

Key Questions Raised:

- How do progressives reconcile individual rights with collective justice?
- Can an ever-evolving constitutional meaning maintain legitimacy?
- Is there a line between moral progress and judicial overreach?

Core Argument:
Progressive constitutionalism seeks both stability and change but often struggles to justify *why* and *how* certain values should take precedence over others. This creates a "puzzle" of prioritization among rights, democracy, and redistribution.

Jeff Pierson